

Security Evaluation of RNGs – The Updated Evaluation Guidelines AIS 20 and AIS 31

Werner Schindler

Federal Office for Information Security (BSI), Bonn, Germany

Marcoux, June 21, 2012



Outline

- Introduction and motivation
- □ Goals of a security evaluation
- Stochastic model
- New AIS 20 and AIS 31



Ideal RNGs

- Even with maximum knowhow, most powerful technical equipment and unlimited computational power an attacker has no better strategy than "blind" guessing (brute force attack).
- □ Guessing n random bits costs 2ⁿ⁻¹ trials in average.
- The guess work remains invariant in the course of the time.
- □ An ideal RNG is a mathematical construct.





Abbreviations

- **DRNG**: Deterministic Random Number Generator
- **PTRNG**: Physical Random Number Generator
- NPTRNG: Non-Physical Non-Deterministic Random Number Generator (Example: /dev/random (Linux))



Security Requirements (I)

- R1: The random numbers should not show any statistical weaknesses.
- R2: The knowledge of sub-sequences of random numbers shall not allow to *practically* compute predecessors or successors or to guess them with non-negligibly larger probability than without knowledge of these sub-sequences. (backward secrecy and forward secrecy)



Security Requirements (II)

- R3: It shall not be *practically feasible* to compute preceding random numbers from the internal state or to guess them with non-negligibly larger probability than without knowledge of the internal state. (enhanced backward secrecy)
- R4: It shall not be *practically feasible* to compute future random numbers from the internal state or to guess them with non-negligibly larger probability than without knowledge of the internal state. (enhanced forward secrecy)

NOTE: R3 and R4 are DRNG-typical requirements.

Schindler

June 21, 2012



Pure DRNG (schematic design)



- ϕ : state transition function
- $\boldsymbol{\psi}$: output function

Schindler



Security aspects

DRNGs can only provide computational security, which might get lost in the course of the time.

The state transition function and the output function are usually composed of cryptographic primitives.

The security of a DRNG grounds on the cryptographic properties of its primitives.



Physical RNG (schematic design)



Evaluation of the PTRNG design

- **Goal:** Estimate the entropy per internal random bit
- Note: Entropy is a property of random variables and not of the values that are assumed by these random variables (here: random numbers).
- In particular, entropy cannot be measured as temperature, voltage etc.
- General entropy estimators do not exist.

Stochastic model (I)

- Ideally, a stochastic model specifies a family of probability distributions that contains the true distribution of the internal random numbers.
- At least, the stochastic model should specify a family of distributions that contain the distribution
 of the raw random numbers or
 - ☐ of ,auxiliary' random variables
 - if this allows to estimate the increase of entropy per internal random number.
- The specified family of probability distributions may depend on one or on several parameters.

Example 1: Coin tossing (I)

- PTRNG: A single coin is tossed repeatedly.
 "Head" (H) is interpreted as 1, "tail" (T) as 0.
- **Stochastic model:**
 - The observed sequence of random numbers (here: heads and tails) are interpreted as values that are assumed by random variables X₁,X₂,....
 - The random variables X₁,X₂, ... are assumed to be independent and identically distributed. (Justification: Coins have no memory.)
 - $\square p := Prob(X_j = H) \in [0,1] \text{ with unknown parameter } p$

Example 1: Coin tossing (II)

Entropy estimation (based on the stochastic model)

Observe a sample x₁,x₂, ..., x_N Set p̃ := #{j ≤ N | x_j = H} / N
To obtain an estimate H̃(X₁) for H(X₁) substitute p into the entropy formula: H̃(X₁) = - (p̃* log₂ (p̃) + (1-p̃) * log₂(1-p̃))

Stochastic model (II)

- For physical RNGs the justification of the stochastic model is usually more difficult and requires more sophisticated arguments. Ideally, it should be confirmed by experiments.
- The parameter(s) are estimated first, and out of it an entropy estimate is computed (cf. Example 1).

PTRNG in operation: Security measures

	goal
tot-test	shall detect a total breakdown of the noise source (almost) immediately; r.n.'s, which have been generated after that instant, shall not be output
startup test	shall ensure the functionality of the physical RNG when it is started
online test	shall detect non-tolerable weaknesses of the random numbers sufficiently soon

Security evaluation

A trustworthy security evaluation should verify the suitability of

☐ the RNG design

☐ the online test, the tot test and the startup test.

Common Criteria (CC)

- provide evaluation criteria for IT products which shall permit the comparability between independent security evaluations.
- A product or system that has successfully been evaluated is awarded with an internationally recognized IT security certificate.
- The Common Criteria and the corresponding evaluation manuals *do not* specify evaluation criteria for random number generators.

In the German evaluation and certification scheme the evaluation guidance documents

AIS 20: Functionality Classes and Evaluation Methodology for Deterministic Random Number Generators AIS 31: Functionality Classes and Evaluation Methodology for Physical Random Number Generators

have been effective for more than 10 years.

Schindler

June 21, 2012

AIS 20 and AIS 31 (II)

□ AIS 20 and AIS 31 are technically neutral. They define several functionality classes of RNGs.

☐ The applicant for a certificate has to give evidence that the RNG meets the specified requirements.

☐ The AIS 20 and AIS 31 have been well-tried in many product evaluations.

□ A reference implementation of the applied statistical tests can be found on the BSI website.

AIS 20 and AIS 31 (III)

□ In 2011 the mathematical-technical references of AIS 20 and AIS 31 have been updated.

Some new functionality classes have been introduced.

The mathematical background is explained, and several examples are discussed.

Functionality classes

June 21, 2012

Federal Office for Information Security

AIS 20 and AIS 31: Old and New (a coarse comparison)

New	Old
functionality classes	functionality classes
DRG.1	K2
	+ forward secrecy
DRG.2	K3
DRG.3	K4
DRG.4	no pendant
PTG.1	P1
PTG.2	P2
PTG.3	no pendant
NTG.1	no pendant

New AIS 20 + AIS 31 (DRNGs)

Functionality class DRG.2

☐ <u>Goals:</u> good statistical properties, backward secrecy, forward secrecy

<u>Generic Requirements (simplified)</u>

□ large seed entropy

cryptographic state transition function and output function

New AIS 20 + AIS 31 (DRNGs)

Functionality class DRG.3

□ <u>Goals:</u> good statistical properties, backward secrecy, forward secrecy, enhanced backward secrecy

<u>Generic Requirements (simplified)</u>

□ large seed entropy

cryptographic state transition function and output function

The state transition function is one-way

DRG.2 vs. DRG.3 (I)

- The functionality class DRG.3 ensures the secrecy of old random numbers even if the internal state has been compromised.
- This is an additional security measure, which relevant if the DRNG is operated in a potentially insecure environment.
- DRG.3 demands a one-way state transition function, which may be costly (e.g., for smart cards)

DRG.2 vs. DRG.3 (II)

🗖 lf

the previous random numbers need not be protected (zero-knowledge proofs, openly transmitted challenges etc.),

□ the device is operated in a secure environment, or

□ if one trusts unconditionally in the security of the device (\rightarrow protection of the internal state)

it might be an option to use a DRG.2-conformant DRNG .

New AIS 20 + AIS 31 (DRNGs)

Functionality class DRG.4

□ <u>Goals:</u> good statistical properties, backward secrecy, forward secrecy, enhanced forward secrecy, enhanced forward secrecy

<u>Generic Requirements (simplified)</u>

□ large seed entropy

cryptographic state transition function and output function

□ The state transition function is one-way

□ supply of fresh entropy (regularly, upon request, ...)

DRG.3 and DRG.4

Compared to DRG.3 the class DRG.4 provides an additional security anchor.

New AIS 20 + AIS 31 (PTRNGs)

- Functionality class PTG.2
- ☐ <u>Goals:</u> good statistical properties, entropy per internal random number is sufficiently large
- Generic Requirements (simplified):
 - internal random numbers pass statistical tests
 - stochastic model of the noise source
 - effective online tests

New AIS 20 + AIS 31: PTRNGs

Functionality class PTG.3

Goals: good statistical properties, entropy per internal random number is sufficiently large, computational security even after a total breakdown of the noise source

- **Generic Requirements (simplified):**
 - □ internal random numbers pass statistical tests
 - □ stochastic model of the noise source
 - effective online tests
 - cryptographic postprocessing with memory (DRG.3conformance with cryptographic output function)

Schindler

June 21, 2012

PTG.2

- The internal random numbers may have a small entropy defect (bias, correlation).
- For many applications this should not play a role: symmetric session keys, challenges etc.
- For certain applications an attacker might (at least theoretically) be able to combine information on several random numbers (e.g., for ephemeral keys for DSA or ECDSA), preventing at least information-theoretical security statements.
- Even if no concrete attacks are known it seems to be recommendable to use PTG.3-conformant RNGs (at least) for those applications.

PTG.3

- PTG.3-conformant RNGs provide two security anchors (unlike PTG.2- RNGs or DRG.3-RNGs).
- The cryptographic postprocessing algorithm ensures computational security even after a total breakdown of the noise source (provided that the noise source has worked for at least some period).
- PTG.3 is the highest functionality class. PTG.3conformant RNGs are appropriate for all cryptographic applications.

DRG.4 and PTG.3

- Unlike PTG.3 the class DRG.4 allows to 'extend' entropy.
- (PTG.3) One may expect that the combination of an analog part and the cryptographic postprocessing algorithm provides stronger resistance against sidechannel attacks and fault attacks than purely physical or purely deterministic RNGs.

New AIS 20 + AIS 31: NPTRNGs

Functionality class NTG.1

☐ <u>Goal:</u> good statistical properties, entropy per internal random number is sufficiently large

Generic Requirements (simplified) :

- internal random numbers pass statistical tests
- reliable entropy estimator for the raw bit strings
- postprocesing algorithm with memory, one-way property

Contact

Federal Office for Information Security (BSI)

Werner Schindler Godesberger Allee 185-189 53175 Bonn, Germany

Tel: +49 (0)228-9582-5652 Fax: +49 (0)228-10-9582-5652

Werner.Schindler@bsi.bund.de www.bsi.bund.de www.bsi-fuer-buerger.de